

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,693		01/02/2004	Dan M. Manole	TEC1223-01	4112
832	7590	12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
	& DANIE		JIANG, CH	JIANG, CHEN WEN	
SUITE 80	AYNE STR 0	EET.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT WA	YNE, IN	46802	3744		
				DATE MAILED: 12/07/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/750,693	MANOLE, DAN M.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chen-Wen Jiang	3744		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is springly is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	entember 2006			
		action is non-final.			
'	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 4,5,7-24 and 26-30 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 4,5,7-9,11,12,17-24 and 26-30 is/are a Claim(s) 10 and 13-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. allowed.			
	ion Papers	·			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .			
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> is/are:		objected to by the Examiner		
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachment					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:			

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 9/18/2006, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing

Cheryl Tyler!

Application/Control Number: 10/750,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monfarad (U.S. Patent Number 6,687,122) in view of Viswanath et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,493,223) or Bear (U.S. Patent Number 6,796,372).

In regard to claims 10 and 13-15, Monfarad discloses a compressor refrigeration sink module for use in cooling electronic component environments. Referring to Figs.3-8, the module comprises hermetic compressor 12,612, frame/housing 74,774,66,766, cooling plate 20,620, optional receiver, conventional air-cooled condenser 14,614 and expansion device 18,618. Monfarad discloses the invention substantially as claimed. The size and design of prior art liquid-based cooling system often required that the major components of the prior art liquid-based cooling system be centrally located. However, Monfarad does not disclose plate with fin type condenser. Viswanath et al. and Bear disclose plate with fin/fluid-cooling type condenser in

Application/Control Number: 10/750,693 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

the same field of endeavor for the purpose of condensing the refrigerant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the apparatus of Monfarad with a plate with fin/fluid cooling type condenser in view of Viswanath et al. or Bear so as to condense the refrigerant.

In regard to claim 16, the matching areas are design choice depending on the heat transfer requirement and structure arrangement of the device.

4. Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monfarad (U.S. Patent Number 6,687,122) in view of Beebe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,148,635).

In regard to claims 10 and 13, Monfarad discloses a compressor refrigeration sink module for use in cooling electronic component environments. Referring to Figs.3-8, the module comprises hermetic compressor 12,612, frame/housing 74,774,66,766, cooling plate 20,620, optional receiver, conventional air-cooled condenser 14,614 and expansion device 18,618. Monfarad discloses the invention substantially as claimed. The size and design of prior art liquid-based cooling system often required that the major components of the prior art liquid-based cooling system be centrally located. However, Monfarad does not disclose plate type condenser. Beebe et al. disclose plate type condenser in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of condensing the refrigerant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the apparatus of Monfarad with a plate type condenser in view of Beebe et al. so as to condense the refrigerant.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4,5,7-9,11,12,17-24 and 26-30 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3744

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner